

A Qatar Foundation Academic Journal

OPEN ACCESS

Lessons from the trials

STREAM at one year: Further evidence supporting a pharmacoinvasive strategy in patients with STEMI

Ahmed M. ElGuindy*

Division of Cardiology, Aswan Heart Centre, Egypt

*Email: ahmed.elguindy@myf-egypt.org

ABSTRACT

We examine the one-year mortality rates from the STREAM trial, recently published in *Circulation*.

INTRODUCTION

Primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PPCI) is currently the preferred reperfusion therapy for patients presenting with acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) when it can be performed by an experienced team in a timely fashion.¹ However, this is not feasible in many areas around the world. In such instances, a pharmacoinvasive strategy consisting of early fibrinolysis followed by transfer to a PCI-capable hospital, for either immediate (rescue) PCI for patients with failed thrombolysis, or for non-urgent coronary angiography to determine the need for additional revascularization within 3-24 hours, is a reasonable alternative.²-3 The Strategic Reperfusion Early After Myocardial Infarction (STREAM) trial⁴ compared a pharmacoinvasive strategy to PPCI in STEMI patients presenting within 3 hours from symptom onset, but unable to undergo PPCI within one hour. The study's design as well as results at 30 days have previously been discussed in the Journal.⁵ The investigators recently reported mortality rates at one year in *Circulation*.6

RESULTS

patients died between 30 days and one year; 20 in the pharmacoinvasive arm and 14 in the PPCI arm. Only 14 patients (out of the 34) died from cardiac causes. At one year, all-cause mortality did not differ between both groups (6.7% vs. 5.9% for the PPCI and pharmacoinvasive groups respectively, p=0.49, risk ratio = 1.13, CI 0.79-1.62). Cardiac mortality rates were also similar in both groups (4% vs. 4.1% for the PPCI and pharmacoinvasive groups respectively, p=0.93). The investigators did not report the primary endpoint – composite of death, shock, congestive heart failure, or reinfarction – in this communication. The dose of tenecteplase in patients older than 75 years was amended after enrollment of approximately 20% of the study's population due to an alarming rate of intracranial hemorrhage (ICH) in this subgroup (3 out of 37 patients). No ICH events were reported after the amendment (50% of the regular dose). The authors concluded that a dose-adjusted pharmacoinvasive approach offers a safe and effective alternative reperfusion strategy to a substantial number of STEMI patients worldwide where timely PPCI is unattainable.

DISCUSSION

One-year results from STREAM confirm the earlier findings from the same study and support the current recommendations in European and American practice guidelines. STREAM also demonstrated the

http://dx.doi.org/ 10.5339/gcsp.2015.17

Submitted: 18 March 2015
Accepted: 30 April 2015
© 2015 ElGuindy, licensee
Bloomsbury Qatar Foundation
Journals. This is an open access
article distributed under the terms
of the Creative Commons
Attribution license CC BY 4.0, which
permits unrestricted use,
distribution and reproduction in any
medium, provided the original work
is properly cited.



Cite this article as: ElGuindy AM. STREAM at one year: Further evidence supporting a pharmacoinvasive strategy in patients with STEMI, *Global Cardiology Science and Practice* **2015:17** http://dx.doi.org/10.5339/gcsp.2015.17

safety of adjusted-dose fibrinolytics in elderly patients, a group who are frequently denied fibrinolytic therapy for concerns about the significantly increased risk of ICH. The efficacy of this strategy warrants further investigation. It is important to note that STREAM randomized a highly selected group of STEMI patients, namely very early presenters (within 3 hours of symptom onset), unable to undergo PPCI within one hour, had at least 2mm ST-segment elevation on their ECG at presentation, and all those randomized to the pharmacoinvasive arm received prehospital tenecteplase. Collectively, these highly selective criteria led to a very slow enrolment process; 4 years to recruit 1915 patients from 99 sites in 15 countries. STREAM is therefore not an all-comers trial by any means, and its results should not be generalized to the broader STEMI population.

WHAT HAVE WE LEARNED?

PPCI, when delivered in a timely manner by an experienced team, remains the reperfusion strategy of choice in patients presenting with STEMI. It is also the strategy of choice regardless of the time delays in patients presenting with cardiogenic shock and in those with contraindications to fibrinolysis. When timely PPCI cannot be performed, fibrinolysis (unless contraindicated) followed by coronary angiography is recommended. The use of half-dose fibrinolytic therapy in elderly patients is probably safe, but its efficacy requires further evaluation.

REFERENCES

- [1] Keeley EC, Boura JA, Grines CL. Primary angioplasty versus intravenous thrombolytic therapy for acute myocardial infarction: A quantitative review of 23 randomised trials. *Lancet.* 2003;361:13–20.
- [2] O'Gara P T, Kushner FG, Ascheim DD, Casey DE, Chung MK, de Lemos JA, Ettinger SM, Fang JC, Fesmire FM, Franklin, BA, Granger CB, Krumholz HM, Linderbaum JA, Morrow DA, Newby LK, Ornato JP, Ou N, Radford MJ, Tamis-Holland JE, Tommaso CL, Tracy CM, Woo YJ, Zhao DX, Anderson JL, Jacobs AK, Halperin JL, Albert NM, Brindis RG, Creager MA, DeMets D, Guyton RA, Hochman JS, Kovacs RJ, Ohman EM, Stevenson WG, Yancy CW. ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 2013;61(4):e78–140.
- [3] Steg PG, James SK, Atar D, Badano LP, Blömstrom-Lundqvist C, Borger MA, DiMario C, Dickstein K, Ducrocq G, Fernandez-Aviles F, Gershlick AH, Giannuzzi P, Halvorsen S, Huber K, Juni P, Kastrati A, Knuuti J, Lenzen MJ, Mahaffey KW, Valgimigli M, van 't Hof A, Widimsky P, Zahger D. ESC Guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation. *Eur. Heart J.* Oct. 2012;33(20):2569–619.
- [4] Armstrong PW, Gershlick AH, Goldstein P, Wilcox R, Danays T, Lambert Y, Sulimov V, RosellOrtiz F, Ostojic M, Welsh RC, Carvalho AC, Nanas J, Arntz H-R, Halvorsen S, Huber K, Grajek S, Fresco C, Bluhmki E, Regelin A, Vandenberghe K, Bogaerts K, Van de Werf F. Fibrinolysis or primary PCI in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *N. Engl. J. Med.* Apr. 2013;368(15):1379 87.
- [5] ElGuindy AM. STREAM and FAST-MI Pharmacoinvasive therapy: A continued role for fibrinolysis in the primary PCI era. *Glob. Cardiol. Sci. Pract.* Jan. 2014;2014(2):56–60.
- [6] Sinnaeve PR, Armstrong PW, Gershlick AH, Goldstein P, Wilcox R, Lambert Y, Danays T, Soulat L, Halvorsen S, Ortiz FR, Vandenberghe K, Regelin A, Bluhmki E, Bogaerts K, Van de Werf F. ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction patients randomized to a pharmaco-invasive strategy or primary percutaneous coronary intervention: Strategic Reperfusion Early After Myocardial Infarction (STREAM) 1-year mortality follow-up. Circulation. Sep. 2014;130(14):1139 45.